

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/662,271	09/15/2003	Paul M. Hamilton	112,800	9022
7590 07/19/2004			EXAMINER	
William Nitkin #424			LUM VANNUCCI, LEE SIN YEE	
850 Boylston Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chestnut Hill,	MA 02467		3611	
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer.	10/662,271	HAMILTON, PAUL M.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lee Lum	3611
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a real of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of the discount of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Monute. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ The 3)⊠ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma	
	Ex parte Quayre, 1935 C	.b. 11, 400 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1)	4\ □ Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
5. Patent and Trademark Office	Nation Control (Section 1999)	

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claim 1, the emphasized language in "<u>in the shape of</u> a motorcycle fuel tank" is indefinite. In the last two lines, "said...plastic member simulating a motorcycle fuel tank" is unclear/redundant because the abovementioned language is similar.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- A. As best understood, **Claims 1-4** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Camfield et al 5505493 in view of Osuchowski et al 3930667.

As best understood, Camfield discloses an accessory for a bicycle having a top tube 24, best depicted in fig 4, comprising

Plastic member 52 "shaped as a motorcycle fuel tank", including a bottom,

Receipt channel 53 (lower left illustration) as attachment means, including first and second attachment members (unidentified screws) on either side.

The reference does not disclose that the simulated tank (as best understood) is inflatable, while Osuchowski shows this feature as element 10, which "simulates a tank", and is inflatable. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this arrangement, as shown in Osuchowski, to provide an

Art Unit: 3611

alternate embodiment which is cheaper and easier to assembly, while providing the same function – a simulation of a fuel tank.

B. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Camfield in view of Osuchowski, and in further view of Skirchak Jr et al 5499835.

The previous references do not disclose a simulated filler cap on the tank, while Skirchad shows this element 56/58 in fig 3. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this element, as shown in Skirchak, to further the illusion of a simulated fuel tank for aesthetic purposes.

- 3. The prior art made of record, and not relied upon, is considered pertinent to the disclosure: Brambach 6036046, Eden 5851017, Matties 5669660, Lorbiecki 5658050, Barlow et al 4463515, Cook 4201011, Jones 3978901, Escobedo 3785086, Yamada et al, Des. 300418.
- 4. Communication with USPTO/Examiner

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ms. Lum at 703 305-0232, M-F, 9-6. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, her supervisor, Ms. Lesley Morris is at 703 308-0629. Our fax number is 703 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications: private PAIR only, for published applications: private or public PAIR. For more information re PAIR: http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Questions re private PAIR: contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866 217-9197.

LESLEY D. MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Ms. Lee S. Lum Examiner 7/7/04